5/2/06

System	Substrate	Basis	Loading	% Active	% Active	% Active	% Active
(1)	(2)	Weight	Ratio	Initial	1 Day	5 Days	11 Days
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	@ 120 F	@ 120 F
					@ 120 F	ł	
Inventive A	PE2006N	68	2.75	100	98.6	94.3	80.0
Inventive B	PE2006N	68	3.5	100	100	95.7	85.7
Inventive C	PEM017	58	2.75	100	100	95.7	75.7
Inventive D	PEM017	58	3.5	100	100	97.1	81.4
Inventive E	HPE8010	45	2.75	100	100	91.4	75.7
Inventive F	HPE8010	45	3.5	100	98.6	95.7	81.4
Inventive G	HPE8005	68	2.75	100	- (7)	87.1	61.4
Inventive H	HPE8005	68	3.5	100	- (7)	88.6	70.0
Comparative	PP Donut	44	2.75	100	97.1	54.3	trace (8)
F							
Comparative	PP Donut	44	3.5	100	95.7	44.3	trace
J						1 . 1	(8)
Comparative	PP 1.2	34	2.75	100	100	95.7	trace
K							(8)
Comparative	PP 1.2	34	3.5	100	100	97.1	trace
L							(8)
Dispatch	PP	-	~ 5	100	91.4	84.3	trace
(9)				(10) A s med			(8)
Neat	None	-	† 	100	•	-	54.3
solution	(12)						
A-L (11)							
			1	1			

- (1) System is absorbent substrate loaded at loading ratio with neat sodium hypochlorite solution (Composition 1 detailed in Table 1)
- (2) Substrates include 100% polyester (PE 2006N, PE M017) from Polymer Group Inc., 100% polyester (PE 8010) from Dupont, hydrophilically modified polyester (HPE 8005H) from Dupont, 100% polypropylene (PP donut) from Rockline, Inc. and 100% polypropylene (PP 1.2) from Kimberly-Clarke Corporation, each having basis weights as indicated in Column 3. Substrate size tested is 7" x 8" size for Inventive examples A-H and 6" x 6.75" for comparative examples I-L. Dispatch Wipe is analyzed as being 100% polypropylene (PP).
- (3) Basis weight expressed in gram/m² (gm⁻²)
- (4) Loading ratio expressed in (unit less) ratio of applied composition weight/dry absorbent weight